

Sent to journalists who use 'hate speech' in their reporting.

Dear Editor,

I am a retired UK Police Inspector. In June 2021, I distributed an investigation report to approximately 450 UK MPs, UK Police Associations, media outlets and the General Medical Council. This report contained an investigation I had undertaken into PCR Protocols. I left the Metropolitan Police Service in February 2020, after 30 years of service. My investigation report was published in The Expose UK on December 6th 2021.

I recently heard your view on 'anti-vaxxers.' Let me explain that as an officer who has investigated many hate crimes, I'm now seeing appalling political ideology that is reprehensible and destructive in the extreme. The flawed PCR process inflates statistical case and death number. A Lancet study demonstrates, viral load in the vaccinated and unvaccinated are the same. The Lancet in a separate cohort study demonstrate the same is true in break out settings. It is likely what we are now seeing, are break through infections - due to vaccine escape and mutation.

The vaccine adverse events databases in the USA and UK demonstrate the high number of those who have already died from the current vaccine roll out. A situation that is notoriously known for its under reporting. Between 26% and 33% of deaths take place within 24-48 hours of vaccination. Under these circumstances they satisfy the Bradford Hill postulates in relation to causation. The current medical intervention is still in an experimental phase, using new gene- based technology. No one at this stage, knows the long -term side effects

I would encourage you to read my investigation report (Society in a Centrifuge), so that you are familiar with the true nature of the PCR test. So called "anti-vaxxers" in my view, are conscientious people who have taken the time to investigate:

- 1) the flawed PCR process,
- 2) understand the true nature of the virus threat,
- 3) an understanding of the 'authentic' effectiveness of any vaccine or medical intervention within the principles of ABSOLUTE (effectiveness) vs RELATIVE (efficacy) RISK REDUCTION in Research Reporting Bias and how it applies to real world applications.

<https://rumble.com/vqx3kb-the-pfizer-inoculations-do-more-harm-than-good.html>

4) the benefit of vitamin D3. Many studies show that habitual use improves protection against Covid of up to 44%. Global governments have allowed 'unfettered damage to life and limb' by not giving vitamin D3 to their citizens. The study that Matt Hancock commissioned last year, intentional or otherwise, demonstrated the failure of vitamin D. This was a flawed study, in which already sick people in hospital were given mega doses, which no doubt put a burden on their already ailing souls. D3 has to be given habitually over weeks to build protection in

bone marrow. A 2010 Lancet Report shows it improves killer T cell response drastically in respiratory illness. Dismissing vitamin D3 as a 'fad' would be a gross act of ignorance in the rising tide of studies that have taken place recently.

You might be interested to know that Hitler and the Third Reich introduced sweeping health policies before they slid into fascist ideology and genocide. Whilst I never wanted to draw that comparison, it is plain to see from anyone with an ounce of empathy (that has not yet been deleted); this is where some countries and states are currently heading. The damage has been unconscionable and sustained. For many, these draconian policies have meant unprecedented damage to life and limb, financial ruin and an innate distrust of government, media and health services. Many will never recover from the psychological apparatus that have been deployed in the pursuit of a faulty precept of 'the greater good.'

I encourage you to reflect on your ongoing support of a position that is: a) not tenable, (b) is not based in 'authentic' established science, (c) will lead to further demonisation of people that do not deserve it (d) will leave an already depleted NHS in a position of collapse (e) will given time, effect all our freedoms and rights.

The phrase 'anti-vaxxer' is derogatory, causes segregation and in my view worthy of hate speech legislation. Have a little more respect for conscientious, healthy, moral and ethical human beings. A more enlightened fortitude is needed, in a world that begs for it.

Thank you,

Colin Edge BSC (Hons)
Ret : Inspector (1990 -2020)