




A year into the pandemic: 3 things we've learnt about the planet



A lockdowns lead to a drop in road traffic, and car emissions around the world.

Image: REUTERS/Charles Platiau

This article is published in collaboration with
The Conversation

15 Mar 2021

Piers Forster

Professor of Physical Climate Change; Director of the Priestley International Centre for Climate, University of Leeds

- The sudden and unprecedented drop in human activity during lockdown, gave scientists a unique opportunity to study the planet, and climate change.
- From the speed in which climate science operates in to lockdown's initial warming effect, the period has offered some unexpected insights.
- Piers Forster, a climate scientist from the University of Leeds explains the three major things we've learned.

The planet had already warmed by [around 1.2°C](#) since pre-industrial times when the World Health Organization officially declared a pandemic on March 11 2020. This began a sudden and unprecedented drop in human activity, as much of the world went into lockdown and factories stopped operating, cars kept their engines off and planes were grounded.

There have been many [monumental changes](#) since then, but for those of us who work as climate scientists this period has also brought some entirely new and sometimes unexpected insights.

Here are three things we have learned:

1. Climate science can operate in real time

The pandemic made us think on our feet about how to get around some of the difficulties of monitoring greenhouse gas emissions, and CO₂ in particular, in real time. When many lockdowns were beginning in March 2020, the next comprehensive [Global Carbon Budget](#) setting out the year's emissions trends was not due until the end of the year. So climate scientists set about looking for other data that might indicate how CO₂ was changing.

We used information on lockdown as a mirror for global emissions. In other words, if we knew what the emissions were from various economic sectors or countries pre-pandemic, and we knew by how much activity had fallen, we could assume that their emissions had fallen by the same amount.

By May 2020, a [landmark study](#) combined government lockdown policies and activity data from around the world to predict a 7% fall in CO₂ emissions by the end of the year, a figure later [confirmed by the Global Carbon Project](#). This was soon followed by research by my own team, which used [Google and Apple mobility data](#) to reflect changes in ten different pollutants, while a third study again tracked CO₂ emissions [using data](#) on fossil fuel combustion and cement production.

The latest Google mobility data shows that although daily activity hasn't yet returned to pre-pandemic levels, it has recovered to some extent. This is reflected in our [latest emissions estimate](#), which shows, following a limited bounce back after the first lockdown, a fairly steady growth in global emissions during the second half of 2020. This was followed by a second and smaller dip representing the second wave in late 2020/early 2021.

Global changes in pollution levels from lockdown for carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (NO_x) and eight other pollutants. Data is compared to 2019 levels.

Image: Piers Forster via The Conversation

Meanwhile, as the pandemic progressed, the [Carbon Monitor](#) project established methods for tracking CO₂ emissions in close to real time, giving us a valuable new way to do this kind of science.

2. No dramatic effect on climate change

In both the short and long term, the pandemic will have less effect on efforts to tackle climate change than many people had hoped.

Despite the clear and quiet skies, research I was involved in found that lockdown actually had a [slight warming effect](#) in spring 2020: as industry ground to a halt, air pollution dropped and so did the ability of aerosols, tiny particles produced by the burning of fossil fuels, to cool the planet by reflecting sunlight away from the Earth. The impact on global temperatures was short-lived and very small (just 0.03°C), but it was still bigger than anything caused by lockdown-related changes in ozone, CO₂ or aviation.

Looking further ahead to 2030, simple climate models have estimated that global temperatures will only be around [0.01°C lower](#) as a result of COVID-19 than if countries followed the emissions pledges they already had in place at the height of the pandemic. These findings were later backed up by more complex [model simulations](#).

Many of these national pledges have been updated and strengthened over the past year, but they [still aren't enough](#) to avoid dangerous climate change, and as long as emissions continue we will be eating into the remaining carbon budget. The longer we delay action, the steeper the emissions cuts will need to be.

3. This isn't a plan for climate action

The temporary halt to normal life we have now seen with successive lockdowns is not only not enough to stop climate change, it is also not sustainable: like climate change, COVID-19 has hit the most vulnerable the hardest. We need to find ways to reduce emissions without the economic and social impacts of lockdowns, and find solutions that also promote health, welfare and equity. Widespread climate ambition and action by individuals, institutions and businesses is still vital, but it must be underpinned and supported by structural economic change.

Colleagues and I have estimated that [investing just](#) 1.2% of global GDP in economic recovery packages could mean the difference between keeping global temperature rise below 1.5°C, and a future where we are facing much more severe impacts – and higher costs.

Unfortunately, green investment is not being made [at anything like the level needed](#). However, many more investments will be made over the next few months. It's [essential that](#)

strong climate action is integrated into future investments. The stakes may seem high, but the [potential rewards](#) are far higher.

License and Republishing

World Economic Forum Type may be republished in accordance with the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Public License](#), and in accordance with our [Terms of Use](#).

Written by

[Piers Forster](#), Professor of Physical Climate Change; Director of the Priestley International Centre for Climate, University of Leeds

This article is published in collaboration with [The Conversation](#).

The views expressed in this article are those of the author alone and not the World Economic Forum.

UpLink - Take Action for the SDGs

Take action on UpLink



Explore context

Climate Change

Explore the latest strategic trends, research and analysis



Subscribe for updates

A weekly update of what's on the Global Agenda