



LOCAL RESET

**DO WE WANT INCLUSIVE CAPITALISM AND END UP ON OUR KNEES
OR DO WE WANT TO LIVE WITH OUR HEADS HIGH?**

We will remember the year 2020 for a long time, not only because of the pandemic that came into our lives and restricted our freedom, but above all it brought us systemic change and put us at a crossroads that will affect our future world. This world will be built on a completely different system .

We are going through a dynamic development and society must adapt to all the changes of today's world. What worked yesterday no longer works today.

EXPANSION

In order for capitalist society to function, it must grow or expand. There is no equilibrium, neutral state, the so-called equal zero. Everything is based on a simple rule; either you are making a profit or a loss, nothing else. Successful or unsuccessful. Expansion creates space for generating profits and does not take into account any social consequences.

Expansion is therefore the main subject that we should examine carefully.


The foundations of capitalist society was first described in 1776 by the economist and philosopher Adam Smith in his work *The Wealth of Nations*. At the same time, he came to the conclusion that a society living on such foundations has a beginning and an end.

There is only limited space for expansion. It all started inconspicuously, when the entrepreneur took control of the space in his village, then took control of the surrounding villages, the region and began to create multinational companies that offer the same services and products around the world. All means were used to expand in space, from revolutions to military occupations, so that growth could not stop. Capitalism hit its limits hard and did not have any more opportunity to expand physically. It is not yet possible to expand beyond the borders of our planet. The existential factor of expansion in space was completely exhausted.

Capitalism was facing difficult decision. Either admit it can't grow any further and quit, or find different way for further expansion. And it was found in expansion in time. Capitalism was saved and could continue to exist for some time.

The best-known representative of the new direction is "consumer credit", which enables the mentioned expansion over time. Basically, we started collecting future profits. Consumers have been given the chance to borrow from their future wages so that they can buy goods and pay for it later. Otherwise goods could not be made and sold. Thus began the uncontrollable debt creation.

The expansion over time lasted from the 1980s until 2008. Since then, economic growth has been backed by faster debt growth, meaning that growth is no longer real.



This is illustrated by the economic data statistics of the FRED money supply in the USD M1 category. The chart above shows the normal growth trend until 2008, since then growth has accelerated and collapsed in 2020. Following this apparent collapse, FRED quickly announced that they are ending the update of this data.

In the meantime, another auxiliary factor appeared that helped the expansion, quality. When someone made a steam engine 100 years ago and would perform basic maintenance on it, it would still run today. It is the same with a washing machine, microwave oven, telephone, etc. The younger the product, the shorter its service life today. The production capacity is so huge that we could supply two planets with all the goods we need and want. How many parking spaces are there in cities to store millions of cars? According to statistics, cars stand still on average 95% of their time. The transport structure is incredibly inefficient and at the same time a large part of the world economy depends on it.

The last desperate attempt occurred in 2015, when it was already known that nothing was working and it was necessary to stimulate demand in some way. The movement of the population from underdeveloped countries began. They do not yet know what they might need but would develop the urge to want it. It didn't matter who paid for it but the capitalistic model must go on. The migration crisis has begun. At the same time, the relocation of people should dull the feeling of nationality so that borders can be abolished in the future. Capitalism, by its very nature, hates borders because it is an obstacle to the efficient occupation of space. Young generations are no longer so emotionally attached to their countries and today's education is encouraging that.

It is an uncompromising process. Our product must be able to withstand competition from other identical products. Therefore, it must be produced efficiently, preferably massively. Mass production create pressure on the space around it. If you do not have space to distribute it in, your products will start to accumulate in the warehouse. Such product starts to lose its value and ceases to cover the costs invested in it. Than stronger company buys the weaker and destroys competition. Capitalist elites do not care about the people of the region, they fight for their own survival. The product or service finds itself in an increasingly tight space.

The world's largest economists, entrepreneurs and financiers do not know what to do next. They are scared.

They know that if they want to exist, they must find a solution similar to the one they came up with in the past in order to postpone the irreversible end of capitalism for some time.

ELITES FIGHT FOR THEIR LIVES

It is important to realize that for the capitalist elite this is about a struggle to survive. Revolutions and system changes will always alter the needs of the population and eliminate elites. It is not uncommon for old elites to end up being dishonored and executed and their property taken away.

We see this very clearly in the way the elites want to introduce us to a new beginning. The World Economic Forum and its main representative, Klaus Schwab, introduced us to the "The Great Reset" program, which is supported by the world's elites and the largest multinational corporations.

This project was purposefully commissioned by another initiative, Inclusive Capitalism or fairer capitalism, that closely coordinates its goals.



The main leaders are Lynn Forester de Rothschild, the heir to the British throne Prince Charles and an important patron is also Pope Francis. Prince Charles not only wants to participate, but wants to become the leader.

The Council for Inclusive Capitalism is in an alliance with the Vatican (CICV). It involves 48 banks, funds, corporations and the UN Special Envoy for Climate Change. They are called "The Guardians".

What is their goal? How do they see our future righteous world?

Imagine a society where people will own only basic essentials. All the key assets of the business will be transferred to a handful of selected multinational companies, which will be protected by The Guardians and they would make sure that the world is fair. The point is to find a zero status. Which means splitting the markets, eliminating competition and everything related to it. Only a few multinational companies are able to agree on a divided space. It would be easier if they have the same owner. The price will become secondary because it will not be exposed to competition. Competition simply cannot exist in this world. You will not be allowed to do business on your own. The UN has already adapted this agenda as so-called sustainable development. Do you hear that reference to zero status? Sustainable development means pressure and regulation through carbon neutrality. We all have to agree with that. At the same time, carbon neutrality is the only possible factor that works the same way around the planet and is set to dramatically reduce consumption, thus better regulate sustainable development.

Money will lose its value and will retain only regulatory significance. People will only receive basic unconditional income that is taking into account their social score. The state will lose its function because it failed by failing to manage its finances and crisis management in difficult times. So its function will be taken over by the already mentioned multinational companies. These multinational companies will be portrayed in the media as environmentally stable, economically successful, who know better how to manage assets. It certainly will remind old timers of the situation in socialist countries. Only instead of the government, which owned all the means of production, there will now be one private owner.

For many, inclusive capitalism is just a theory and they do not believe that privatization of this magnitude is possible today. Let's see what the situation is in the United States. No one is bothered by the fact that stock exchanges grew in the year with COVID 19 (2020) despite the fact that the economy fell to its knees. This is a covert privatization, where "someone" buys everything that appears on the stock exchange. The Big Three - US finance companies BlackRock, Vanguard, and

State Street already manage \$ 15 trillion in assets by the end of 2020, representing more than three-quarters of the U.S. economy. They control 73% - 80% of the world market of exchange traded funds. The Big Three, for example, owns the largest stake in companies such as Apple, JP Morgan Chase and today's highly discussed Pfizer.

Doesn't it seem strange to you why economies are being devastated today, and whole sections of businesses are forcibly closed? In particular, groups that are relatively independent of large banks are being shut down. In this case, independence is the class enemy. There are no restrictions on groups that are already secretly owned by the above-mentioned group of multinational shareholders. No banks are restricted in any way. Industrial and commercial corporations receive large subsidies for employees, etc. In the name of health, state indebtedness is accepted. Of course, everything happens under the auspices of saving lives. Every night, the media tells us how important it is to limit everyone's business, while it is happening very selectively.

People are not healed in hospitals, but only treated. We do not protect vulnerable groups, we have locked them down. In the state of an emergency drugs that could help are prohibited. Doctors trying to treat patients are removed when they use a drug other than the official one. The solution is a vaccine that we know nothing about and do not even know whether it might not cause dependence on another medication in the future. And this one even might be controlled by the Guardians.



One thing is certain: CAPITALISM NO LONGER EXISTS!

Even the creators of this Great Reset know that capitalism as we know it no longer exists. It's not about money now, it's about power to survive, literally. Control must be maintained at all costs.

Don't be fooled by the new name "INCLUSIVE CAPITALISM". It's just a trick to make us believe that if we submit now everything will return to the old ways.

But one old rule says: PLANS CHANGE

This does not mean that they will not try to accomplish inclusive capitalism. It is certainly interesting to see who decided to help with this. Who creates the rules and laws, who enforces them and how. How they tell us that the constitution can be violated in emergencies. Some consciously, others unknowingly, with the necessary dose of bigotry, they implement the scenario described above.

LOCAL SYSTEM

Let's look at a different story, one nobody is really listening to today. Today, we still have a choice. If we want to return to normal life, we cannot constantly rely on Brussels, China or the Big Three. We have to break out of the trap.

Points for building a local economy:

1. Basic unconditional income
2. Digital currency
3. Concentration of capital
4. Robotization and new sources of energy
5. Increased emphasis on the environment
6. Creation of new non-traditional job opportunities
7. Government of the country and talent search

We need to start from the same point. It is no longer possible to expand in space, no more space exists even in time, because the debt created generates more interest than the necessary growth in values, and central banks set the Fed's interest at 0.00 to 0.25%.

For example, as Valentin Katasonov said, “according to the IMF, the World Bank and the Institute of International Finance, the debt level of the Chinese economy has exceeded 300% of GDP. This is comparable to United States and European Union indicators, but China official statistics do not include debt generated by shadow banking (issuing loans by private organizations that are not licensed by the Chinese central bank). Experts suggest that the real debt in the Chinese economy is about twice as high, taking into account shadow banking, at 600% of GDP. This means that the Chinese economy, inflated by loans, has an even more significant debt character than the US and EU economies. The Tower of Babel in China is being built on debt!”

THE ECONOMY MUST BE RESTRUCTURED ANYWAY!

Awareness comes first. We must realize that the world can no longer be built on the values of capitalism.


When we do not have space, we must claim/stake out our own, where we are able to influence things in some way. Usually we focus on a space where people have similar values, habits and have similar needs. In the European Union the gap in needs across Europe is too great and that is why this union can't survive.

The whole process has already begun with Britain leaving the European Union. A separate part of Europe, which is sharing similar values and needs, is Spain, Italy and the energy-inseparable France plus the western part of Germany. Another typical group is Poland, part of East Germany and the Baltic States. Scandinavia is another group, with its typical structure and social life.

However, we are interested in the group influencing our area. Individual groups can be formed gradually and at the very beginning act as separate units. To achieve the goals, it is advantageous to have groups with a population of around 50 million. If your “village” is too small, you will not be able to build a locomotive in it. Vice versa, if your group is too large, it will be hard to agree on anything because everyone has different needs. At the same time there will be a lot of projects for which the 50 million group will be too small and cooperation between groups will be necessary.

Europe

by economy regions




It is the same situation in the US. This cradle of global capitalism suffers even more intensely as capitalism, as we know it, is falling apart. On the map above we can see one of the potential scenario of formations of new separate regions.

In the US, economics, financial and demographics trends are creating political and social crisis. Inflation and the collapse of the dollar will only accelerate this. At a time when 60% of the population will spend more than 40% of their income on food there will come a storm of a huge social unrest. Today already 20% of Americans are very close to this level and there are big regional and demographic differences. 40% is a level that always triggered big upheavals and revolutions around the world.

America

separation by ethnic lines, social and economy needs



Map of the US as new separate regions form (as seen by Igor Panarin)

United States are too big and there are big differences in needs. It will be divided along the ethnics lines and according to the social and economics needs.

In the seventies Nixon's administration chose suddenly to stop the convertibility of U.S. Dollar to gold. And then decided that the most desirable commodity in the world, crude oil, will be priced in U.S. Dollars only. Petrodollar was born. This allowed the US to finance it's debt. This was worst than a crime it was a mistake. American army made sure that this rule was followed.

Today this debt is huge and the interest from this kind of amount is extreme. In the same time the world is not willing any more to continue with arrangement like that and does not want to accept anymore disproportioned printing of Dollars bills.

At the moment when Dollar collapses wealthier states will retain their financial assets and stop cooperating with the federal government. In this moment they will in fact secede from the union. That will start a chain reaction and GDP will be lowered by 50%.


The state of California will cooperate with its surrounding areas and will create region called something like “California Republic”. They will focus on China as they are already today connected with them technologically and China is already partially dependent on them. On the other side Texas, with 50% of Hispanic population and four of the biggest oil refineries, will become the heart of the “Texas Republic”. Administrative Washington DC and New York, where the center of the speculative capital is located, will become part of the “Atlantic America” with European influence. The rest will stay separated and will create new region called “Central North-American Republic” and Canada will have a big influence on this part.

All the groups have to face a collapsing global structure. There is a big difference in how the inclusive capitalism views this and how these groups of separate entities can choose to deal with it.

1. BASIC UNCONDITIONAL INCOME

First important step is to transition to a localized economy. We agree with the theory of inclusive capitalism on this point that there is a need to create a basic unconditional income. The fundamental difference is the fact that it must not be a the only source of income of the population.

Basic unconditional income is a new way to redistribute the public funds and it is not about adding funds to the existing system, such as pensions and various other social assistance. The structure of the work force has changed so much over the decades so that the agricultural and industrial sectors are no longer the largest employers. World, as we know it, has changed and this needs to be addressed with new ways of redistributing money. At the same time, the whole system must be fairer and more comprehensible, even in the time of the current crisis.



The chart was created in 2017 and shows employment in sectors over time

Basic unconditional income is not intended to solve the income of the population, but to provide for the basic needs of every person. When we talk about every person, we mean everyone born in our territory. They will receive this basic unconditional income, from birth to death, without any other condition. Hence the word "UNCONDITIONAL".

If someone wants a higher standard of living, they will still be able to get a job or start a business. But will no longer be locked in a spiral where, out of fear, they cannot leave their job, close their business or train for another profession. No one will be dependent on current economic situation or business's performance and thanks to the basic unconditional income nobody will live in fear of losing a job.

In the new form of a localized economy, an unnecessary enterprise can be easily and quickly liquidated and replaced by a one more needed. The government will not have to rescue any company unless it is in the national interest. On the contrary, it will have a free hand to allow new businesses to start.

Basic unconditional income is a direct payment between the government and the citizen without any middle man. Today, the government only deals with companies that have a big legal department and are requesting various supporting subsidies. A private individual who cannot afford a lawyer usually gets nothing. The law must be written in a comprehensible way, such as VAT, where everyone knows who and what is to be received and it does not matter what legal power the company or private owner has.

There must be a change in the way paycheck is calculated. The employer will only pay the second part of it as it will be reduced by determined basic unconditional income.

Today's society is moving the market of labor under the government and already pays an incredibly overvalued hidden basic unconditional income to government employees and businesses who have their livelihoods linked to government revenues. If, for example, a construction company building a highway does not receive payment from the state, then this company and the subsequent connected services will not be able to exist. Linked services are not only construction sub-contracting, but also personal services to employees. From everyday purchases, to meals all the way to barbers. Furthermore, the government supports countless non-profit organizations. In other words, greater half of the able-bodied population is directly or indirectly paid by the government. The government thus favors one working group over another and thus regulates employment in the country.

Basic unconditional income is payment for basic needs. It is not a payment that supports above the average lifestyle, but it must also not be used by the state to manipulate or control its citizens.

The World Economic Forum's program "The Great Reset" and Inclusive Capitalism states that basic unconditional income should be introduced as an only form of income. They also do not use the word "unconditional" so that they can impose an income conditions, sanctions and social scores, depending on how someone behaves. In contrast, the LOCAL System emphasizes the word "unconditional" by not limiting basic unconditional income. And this is a fundamental and huge difference, between the concept of Basic Unconditional Income by Inclusive Capitalism and the Local System.

People basically don't want to work

People must be motivated to work by motivational factors. These factors are represented differently in different periods. All factors work in every period, but one of them is always dominant.

Existential factor

This one was dominant at a time when man had to provide for his tribe and its existence. It was not the money that made the difference here, but only the need for survival. After the war, when our ancestors rebuild the ruined farms, this factor was also strongly in play.

Fear factor

It is major factor during the period of slavery and feudalism. Cultivating of the land was predominant at this time and so the agricultural employer was the largest employer at this time. Money was not the most important value, the land was.

Factor of capital

It is represented in the period of industrialization and capitalism. Everything is controlled by capital, man performs work for a reward, which is represented by money and capital. Land has no longer the highest value, but it is the mean of production and the accumulation of profit (capital).

We come to a time when the following factors will be dominant:

Moral value recognition**Self realization and reward factor****Factor of working for pleasure**

These three factors are not affected by money or capital.

The main argument of critics of Basic Unconditional Income is that people will not want to work.

Inclusive Capitalism is planing to use combination of Basic Unconditional Income and a social score. It is the involvement of the fear factor and the existential factor. This creates an atmosphere that implies if you do not do what we want, we cannot pay you the basic income and everyone must be collectively satisfied with what we are giving you.

On the other hand in a Localized Economy, unconditional income should be UNCONDITIONAL!

Income is just a base, and motivation to work is provided by all the other factors.

People can leave jobs they got in their youth, and not block it for the next generation. With age, needs change. In this way flow of jobs will improve and it can be optimized for people in their prime working time. New jobs can be created with flexible working hours and better work distribution even at times when automation and robotics take away ordinary job opportunities.

People are not the same. Some people may like to work for 3, 5, 8 or even 16 hours. It all depends on the performance of the individual, age and the chosen standard of living.

It is very important not to leave all the work to robots and computers. We must create condition for social collaboration. Home office, online school, social distancing and restricting contacts only deepens division, which in turn creates the main obstacle to a satisfying standard of living in a society.

SEED

Important two years long research by SEED (Stockton Economic Empowerment Demonstration) is being carried out in California. We already have the results from the first 12 months.

The results that were collected during the first year (February 2019 to February 2020) show that the recipients of unconditional income were less nervous and less depressed when compared with the control group. They also noted a statistically significant improvement in emotional health, fatigue levels, and overall well-being. Beneficiaries of unconditional income had a greater ability to pay for unexpected expenses. This was particularly important as the research was conducted during the time of COVID-19 pandemic.

One of the main goals of the study was to examine whether these consistent unconditional cash payments ultimately lead to lower employment levels. Interim data from the SEED study show that the level of full-time employment in the group that was paid unconditional income grew faster than in the control group. At the beginning of the experiment 28% of subjects in the income group had full-time job. Twelve months later it was 40%. In contrast, 32% of subjects in the second group were full time employee at the beginning of the study, and a year later this figure rose only to 37%.

That shows that basic unconditional income increases employment, improves financial situation and physical and mental health.

Do we have money to pay for this?

Another argument of the opponents of Unconditional Income is that we do not have the money.

Today's world is based on shattered finances. We support markets and finance jobs in China or Bangladesh, and it doesn't surprise anyone any more. China shows proudly its statistics how it helps its citizens out of poverty and how employment

grows. All this is true, but we pay for it by growing poverty and job losses in western world.

We are locked in poor pricing and taxation outside of our region.

The price must be made by taking into account impact in the region. If we look at what we are able to produce efficiently and give work to people here we will not support the labor market elsewhere, such as in China. Then worker here receives a salary for his work, which he can in return use for other services and products. We will thus start creating a chain of cumulative profits (this is not the profit from the product, but the profit that will arise subsequently from this work, product or service). If the worker does not receive a wage, he cannot pay for the service or buy the goods and the government loses income from these unrealized services and trades. Then the government has to start paying unemployment benefits or it has to create jobs in the state apparatus. The government thus creates a decrease in tax revenue and has to borrow funds that it's missing from production of cumulative profits and consequently generates debt.

The solution is localization.

Unfair competition must be avoided as where the imported product is sold at an extremely low price. When creating this price, working conditions, standards, environmental protection and various taxes that are required in our region are not taken into account. This inequality needs to be offset by tariffs.

In order for a region to cope with this situation it must restrict movement of capital in its region and not allow taxes to be paid in any tax haven or anywhere else. We have various digital services that generate profit in our region, and do not pay taxes in our country. As they do not have any representation here they do not see the need to pay taxes but they keep on providing services. The whole process must be meaningfully regulated to prevent tax evasion and stop supporting labor markets elsewhere in the world. Then we will find that the imported goods from China are actually many times more expensive and by making our own we will start to get richer. We want to build our own region and not to sponsor Chinese economy.

DIGITAL CURRENCY

Today, the world is intensively preparing for the arrival of the digital currency. A blockchain-based digital currency is a kind of decentralized online ledger. The functionality of this technology differs from the ordinary transfer of money at intermediary organizations (banks). Not only will the payment be made, but it

will be recorded and at the same time there will happen a chain of micro payments, such as taxes, subsidies, contributions related to the service or product. In this way, transactions or data can be stored securely and forever without a superior central authority. This means that this kind of process excludes intermediaries and control organizations as banks, tax authorities, etc. In this system, the tax return has no place, everything takes place in real time.

Fierce battle is raging over who will be able to own the currency. There are three big players on the scene.

1. The Fed, with its project "Central Bank Digital Currency, Central Bank for All" as a wholesaler of money, wants to have a direct relationship with the client and exclude all intermediaries.
2. Commercial bank JPMorgan Chase with its digital currency JPM Coin has taken the lead in retail believing that commercial banks have better access to clients than the central bank and will be better able to mediate the transfer of fiat currency into digital currency. They argue that clients know them and will be comfortable with their services.
3. Technology companies that are supported by Facebook and their DIEM Currency project. They assume that their direct access to all clients on the planet gives them the best conditions to handle such an operation.



It is not known who will win this battle. China is trying to be the leader but it is doomed only for internal use as it does not have a network connection in the world. China's digital currency will be the currency of the region. The main reason why Huawei was excluded from the supply of 5G networks was to prevent the spread of the Chinese digital currency on a global scale.

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has no idea what's happening. Although they understand that the dollar is collapsing as a reserve currency. They are considering going back to 1944 when John Keynes, head of the British delegation in Bretton Woods, proposed building a post-war monetary and financial system based on a supranational currency issued by the International Clearing House. This proposal did not pass at that time and the dollar won as a reserve currency. However, today the IMF does not know what to do next and looks to Keynes's old idea as a solution. Something like my grandfather plowed, my dad plowed and what about us? We will plow on that rock too.

International Monetary Fund is ready to get another shot at introduction of the global currency. A new opportunity will arise with soaring inflation and public collapse and destruction of the dollar. All currencies will lose their international exchange rate, as this rate is based on U.S. dollar. Countries will start direct trading of goods for goods. In countries that are stimulated by dollar (all the Western world) GDP will be greatly reduced.

The IMF includes 190 countries from around the world. Every country has one representative and those form The Board of Governors. This board, as they are scared that they will be eliminated, will go in session and will agree on a completely new financial system. They will sacrifice FED, the owner of the dollar, and all the system of banks and will keep only central banks in each of the countries. IMF will become the overarching world bank and will issue a new world currency. All the local currencies will have their new exchange rate decided or the world currency will be used instead of all the local currencies.


Central banks will open bank accounts for all people and businesses directly in the central bank. Everybody will be paid average salary plus 6 months of savings. 85% of population will be happy and the rest will not pick up a fight because they will be scared. Those in charge of the currency will have all the power and will be in charge of the taxes, too.

3. CONCENTRATION OF THE CAPITAL

Our region needs to consider the creation of its own digital currency, which would serve the needs of our region and work in parallel with the global currency. Our region should be economically partially closed but open to the movement of people. Everyone should have the right to leave the region at any time and to return at any time. On the contrary, the flow of goods and capital must be under the control of the region. There are many projects that benefit from cooperation between different regions. Therefore, hermetic sealing is not beneficial. Exchange of goods for a wider assortment should be still possible as is import of goods and services that are not available in the region due to climatic conditions or unavailable technology. If we have our own currency, profits will not be arbitrarily exported outside the region until they are properly taxed. As mentioned above, the functionality of the digital currency will take care of the tax immediately. But if we let the owners of the global currency to service of our taxes, we can expect that it will be like “letting the fox into the hen house”.

4. ROBOTIZATION AND NEW ENERGY SOURCES

As we expand technological progress, especially by developing automation and robotization and start to use more 3D printing and new energy sources, most of the common human work will be done by robots and computers. That means that people will have more time for other activities. In addition, robotization makes it possible to produce different products in one single factory, in extreme cases they can be all originals.



In the future, only data will be sent between companies or across borders, and the robotic industrial factory will produce a car, refrigerator or TV of a desired brand or design. With full automation, this product will be almost free as the price is made up of profit and price of human labor and we do not pay robots. At that time capital and money will completely lose their dominant role and new criteria for human activity will become more important .

5. INCREASED EMPHASIS ON THE ENVIRONMENT


We must not forget the call for climate protection. Whatever we think about this initiative, and although the objectives of this initiative varies, the fact remains that environmental protection makes sense. All the more so when technologies are readily available and can contribute to a better lifestyle while protecting the planet. However, balance is needed. The economy needs to adapt in a way so as not to generate even more problems.

At the same time each region must work with emerging trend of the modern world and move towards carbon neutrality. The Big Three plan to use their investments in a way that destroys the mining industry. They want to govern according to the concept of ESG (Environmental, Social, Corporate Governance). Furthermore, they push for not only all the countries, but also for all businesses and all people to be carbon neutral. What will limit you in the future will not be Covid passport, but it will be your carbon footprint. Our carbon neutrality will have a higher value than money. People who reduce their carbon footprint or create something for society through their work will be socially rewarded and allowed to travel.

In fact, the "greenhouse effect" as the cause of global warming is only a hypothesis, as is the opposite hypothesis of global cooling. The environment and carbon neutrality are used to curb disproportionate consumption. This change will be bigger change than any of us can imagine. We must become more self-sufficient in all areas.

Just for fun: Looking at the chart below, is the planet warming or cooling? Follow the rough black line. It begins 12,000 years ago, when the temperature rose with the end of the last ice age to the present day. It just depends which time period you choose.

Holocene temperature fluctuation



6. CREATION OF NEW NON-TRADITIONAL JOB OPPORTUNITIES

The whole complex of changes must lead to a single goal and that is quality of living in the region. Use of technological advancements will help rid the population of the hardest work, as the agricultural sector once did. Mechanization in agriculture took away the hardest work and subsequently created space for other people to enjoy working in agriculture.

For example, these people feel happy looking at the carrots they planted and then harvested. The value of that carrot cannot be expressed in money, because it would be an economic failure. It's about the feeling of satisfaction. People didn't have that feeling from this activity a hundred years ago. New opportunities will arise for businesses to fill a range of needs and the price will not be the deciding factor. We need to give people opportunities that will create social synergies and give them joy from the work done. Robotization and technological progress do not mean taking away all the work, but only taking away hardest work and creating space for creative activities, as once did agriculture. 3D printing will open up possibilities in the production of our own products in the home environment. Joy from this kind of work and from economic connection will be the same as when looking at the mentioned carrots.

7. GOVERNMENT OF THE COUNTRY AND TALENT SEARCH

Over time political systems alternates between democracy and dictatorship. Already the ancient Sumerian civilization had a two house parliamentary democracy. Then came the monarchy of Egypt, the senates of Rome and again kingdoms and monarchies until reemergence of republics ruled again by parliaments. However, today's parliaments are losing their dignity and ability to manage their regions. Inclusive capitalism does not count with them either. It leans towards dictatorial central control by global corporations and the abolition of states as we know them today.

Explaining the need for change to today's politicians will in most cases be as useless as explaining to a king a hundred years ago that his empire would be a republic ruled by parliament.

Today, we can nominate just about anyone to run for a state or county. We choose usually a great speaker who can deliver lofty speeches. We are facing a big change, even though many are not yet willing to admit it.

But we always have a choice.

We can submit or choose to do it our own way.

To get an idea how it could look like if region is managed differently, let me give you an example. What we will describe should lead the reader to consider a new system.

The region or state should have an efficient management. Bankers and their central banks, or even multinational corporations, have never allowed into management people who do not understand their particular field. They nominate to a bank board only a person who has a time-tested experience.

We need to realize that only 0.5% of people in the population have the ability to get things moving.

The question is, who can recognize and find the mentioned 0.5% of the population?

In truth, it is the people who have already accomplished something in society. Such experienced people could be elected to a council that would replace today's parliament. But a member of the council could not be a member of the government. From the position of their experience, this council will be better at recognizing talented candidates. The Council would select the Prime Minister

and participate in the formation of the government. Then approve each minister separately as the Prime Minister select the candidates. Such government will allow even for significantly lower age average.

Example:

The state or region must be career-driven. According to their expertise, people would choose a council that would have a let say maximum of 50 people and would be divided into departments like industry, agriculture, healthcare, etc. A prominent farmer who already ran a farm could run for the agricultural part of the board but not a veterinarian. The director of a hospital could run for health care department seat but no longer a district doctor, with all due respect to them. The foreign field would be run by a diplomat with experience in managing an embassy, etc. Each representative would have the right of veto for his department, so that one sector could not be outvoted meaninglessly by others. This would create an atmosphere of responsibility. This kind of government would become partially immune to the media, because none of its decisions would be burdened by populism and the voters might not always like their decisions.

The government's mandate would not be limited in time, because if we found such talented person, it would be wasteful to get rid of him in four years. However, the council should have the power to dismiss the Prime Minister with immediate effect. Ministers should be easily replaced by the Prime Minister, but also by the council after member of that department proposes it. A council with a six-year term of office would be elected by thirds, just like it is typical for the Senate. Uneducated and inexperienced people without talent should not get into such a position.

**We are people with body, soul and free will.
We can decide for ourselves what we really want!**

April 2021

Rostislav Čuba
Petr Blahynka

Special thank you to Irena Volna Tarabbia; without her this english version would not be possible.