

The FDA's New Vaccine Strategy

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

February 03, 2023

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › When the bivalent COVID boosters against Omicron first came out, only those who had received the initial series of shots were eligible to receive them, but uptake was disappointing. Less than 5% of those eligible had taken a bivalent booster by October 2022, and by late January 2023, that had only risen to 16.2%
- › In the hopes of increasing uptake of the jab, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration is now recommending everyone get an annual COVID shot, even if you didn't receive the initial series
- › Under the new approach, most people will be advised to get whatever the latest version of the COVID shot is, once a year, just like the flu vaccine. And, as with the flu vaccine, the FDA's advisory committee will meet each June to determine which SARS-CoV-2 strains should be included in the shot given that fall
- › Should a particularly dangerous strain emerge, the FDA will roll out an additional emergency jab directed specifically at that strain. Such an emergency dose would then be given in addition to the annual shot
- › As with the annual flu vaccine, the FDA is not requiring reformulated mRNA shots to undergo additional testing. Reformulations are deemed "safe and effective" based on the original shots. The problem is that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has hid and is ignoring hundreds of safety signals found in its post-market data

Matt Orfalea's "Children of the COVID" video (above) illustrates the lengths to which the globalist cabal will go to terrify people. Most parents adore their kids, and instilling in

them the fear that their child's life is in grave danger is a surefire way to get them to fall in line — even though that line is actually winding its way toward something worse than death. As noted by investigative reporter Matt Taibbi:¹

"... this was real press commentary stretching across years about a COVID-19 virus now recognized to pose an extraordinarily small risk to children. Living in America in the last 6-7 years has been like being trapped in a fugue state, where reality is kaleidoscopic, memory is elusive, and moments of clarity sometimes more jarring than reassuring.

To be reminded of what we were told day after day for years, after being trained to forget, is like waking from an unpleasant dream, prompting thoughts like, 'Did that really happen?' In Matt's video, we see how the pandemic was reported not as a collective problem to be solved, but a horror movie to be passively experienced.

This is a media approach we see deployed in a variety of issues from fake news to 'sonic weapons,' one that trains frightened audiences to endorse extreme solutions and outsource thinking to authorities. This makes it all the more important that we remember episodes like 'Children of the COVID' the next time we're told to Be afraid. Be very afraid."

The FDA's Latest Vaccine Strategy

When the bivalent COVID boosters against Omicron first came out, only those who had received the initial series of shots were eligible to receive them. Uptake was disappointing, to say the least. The initial U.S. estimate ended up being off by a whopping 95%, as less than 5% of those eligible had taken it by October 2022.²

As of late January 2023, more than 73% of the U.S. population (aged 5 and older) were eligible, having received the primary series, but only 16.2% had received the updated bivalent booster.³ In the hopes of increasing uptake of the jab, the U.S. Food and Drug

Administration has devised a new strategy — an annual COVID shot that doesn't require having the initial series.^{4,5}

The FDA's Vaccine and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee (VRBPAC) met January 26, 2023, to discuss the plan.⁶ Background materials⁷ detailing the new strategy were published January 23. As has become the norm, the proposal passed without significant deliberation about the risks.

Under the new approach, most people will simply be advised to get whatever the latest version of the COVID shot is, once a year, just like the flu vaccine. And, as with the flu vaccine, the VRBPAC will meet each June to determine which SARS-CoV-2 strains should be included in the shot given that fall. While a single dose will be the norm for most, the very young, the very old and those with compromised immune systems will be advised to get two doses.

Preconditioning You to Accept 'Emergency' Doses

That said, should a particularly dangerous strain emerge, the FDA will roll out an additional emergency jab directed specifically at that strain. Such an emergency dose would then be given in addition to the annual shot.

This, I would say, is a clever way of preconditioning the public into accepting that they'll go back on their word, again and again. If the plan is to administer additional doses in cases of emergency, it's safe to bet there will be emergencies.

After all, the COVID "emergency" hasn't even been formally lifted yet, even though no pandemic ever, in the history of pandemics, has ever lasted three years.

We're so far outside the limits of emergency at this point, the fact that emergency powers have not been rescinded is a sure sign they have no intention of ever lifting them — unless they're prepared to roll out another "emergency" shortly thereafter, such as another pandemic.

FDA Completely Divorced From Reality

As reported by Reuters,⁸ "The FDA hopes annual immunization schedules may contribute to less complicated vaccine deployment and fewer vaccine administration errors, leading to improved vaccine coverage rates."

While a combination influenza-COVID mRNA jab has not yet been approved, it's in the pipeline,⁹ so it's only a matter of time before they "simplify" your life further by recommending a combo jab.

Commenting on the new COVID jab strategy, Dr. Deb Salas-Lopez, senior vice president for community and population health at Northwell Health, told NewsDay she believes making it an annual shot "may convince more people to get it,"¹⁰ which is precisely the thinking of the FDA as well.

"You would be making it part of a routine," Salas-Lopez said. "Starting in September people know they have to get their flu shots ... I think will take out the headache of people trying to follow the latest guidelines ... Giving it alongside of other preventive immunizations makes it less mysterious, if you will."

Similarly, former Nassau County health commissioner Dr. Lawrence Eisenstein, president of Catholic Health, suggested "having a consistent approach may help people trust the process and believe in the process."¹¹

Missing from these comments is, of course, the fact that, for most people, the decision not to get the bivalent booster isn't due to confusion or not trusting the "process."

It's because they don't trust the jab. They don't trust Pfizer and Moderna, and they don't trust our health agencies anymore. At this point, it's hard to find someone who doesn't know of someone who was injured or killed by the jab, or repeatedly got COVID despite being fully jabbed.

That has a tendency to color people's willingness to gamble with their own lives. The fact that [the reformulated shots were authorized based on antibody titers in a few mice](#)

didn't instill confidence either.

'Future Framework' Ushers Untested Gene Therapies to Market

Last summer, we warned that an annually updated COVID jab was coming. We knew it was coming, because the VRBPAC, in late June 2022, rewrote the rules on the sly when it approved a bivalent COVID shot for fall 2022.¹² At that meeting, they were expected to vote on the policy question of whether reformulated COVID-19 shots should be treated as new molecular entities.

But they didn't vote on that policy question. Instead, they voted on whether to approve a reformulated booster. By voting yes on the question of a reformulated booster, they smuggled in a brand-new policy — the policy that reformulated mRNA shots are biologically similar to existing shots.

“ This is literally the worst idea in the history of public health. If you change a single molecule of mRNA in these shots it will change health outcomes in ways that no one can anticipate. That necessarily requires new clinical trials ... ~ Toby Rogers, Ph.D.”

This means that no additional clinical trials will be required when reformulated shots are developed. As noted back in May 2022 by Toby Rogers, Ph.D.¹³ — a political economist whose research focus is on regulatory capture and Big Pharma corruption:¹⁴

"This is literally the worst idea in the history of public health. If you change a single molecule of mRNA in these shots it will change health outcomes in ways that no one can anticipate. That necessarily requires new clinical trials ..."

This policy of viewing reformulated mRNA shots as biologically similar to previous versions is part of something called [The Future Framework](#), which is meant to allow the FDA to treat the experimental mRNA jabs as if they were equivalent to the conventional

influenza vaccine, which is updated each year without ever undergoing any additional testing.

Basically, they are now considering the mRNA jabs a "one and done," and the clinical trials that brought us the original shot are all there will ever be. It would be one thing if the clinical trials had conclusively demonstrated that the shots were safe, but they've done anything but.

Not only do we have evidence suggesting [Pfizer committed fraud in its trials](#), we now also have evidence showing the [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have ignored hundreds of safety signals](#) in its post-market safety monitoring data.

So, to say that all reformulated COVID shots going forward are safe because the original shots had no serious side effects is a blatant lie. There are thousands of recorded side effects, some of which have never been reported for any other vaccine.

Annual COVID Shot Won't Work, and They Know It

The idea of an annual COVID jab might sound reasonable, provided you don't know anything about how viruses mutate, and how mutation rates affect their candidacy for a vaccine. Rapidly evolving viruses are poor vaccine candidates for the simple reason that they mutate faster than vaccine development can keep up with.

This is why we don't have a vaccine against the common cold. Well, SARS-CoV-2 mutates at a rate that is two to 10 times faster than the influenza virus,^{15,16} and if you've been able to keep track of the mutations over the past year or two, kudos. New variants have become predominant every few months.

When you vaccinate against a rapidly mutating virus you also run the risk of pressuring it into more virulent and/or vaccine-resistant forms, which is precisely what's been happening with SARS-CoV-2. Each new strain has become more infectious (but less lethal) and increasingly capable of evading both natural immunity and antibodies formed from the jabs.

With SARS-CoV-2 mutating at such a rapid clip, and jab-induced immunity waning within a handful of months, what good does the FDA think an annual shot will accomplish? It's an exercise in futility. It will, however, make vaccine makers rich, and it seems that's all that matters.

World Health Organization Is Behind Idea to Toss Safety

Not surprisingly, the FDA did not invent the "Future Framework" idea by itself. According to Rogers, the World Health Organization and other predictable names are the real masterminds:¹⁷

"... this entire 'Future Framework' is actually coming from the WHO. The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation is the biggest voluntary contributor to the WHO. So Gates is likely directing the play. Gates requires that WHO use the McKinsey consulting firm so this is probably a McKinsey operation (and McKinsey also works for Pharma so this is a huge conflict of interest).

As far back as January, the WHO/Gates/McKinsey junta realized that these shots were terrible and so they decided to use that as an opportunity to seize even more power and control.

The WHO set up a Technical Advisory Group on COVID-19 Vaccine Composition (TAG-CO-VAC) to implement these Orwellian 'Future Frameworks' across the developed world to lower manufacturing costs for Pharma and avoid bothersome health data that might hurt profits. All the messaging we have seen from the FDA and leaked to the press was initially developed and released by TAG-CO-VAC."

We Must Reject All Future mRNA Shots

This COVID debacle — from its fraudulent PCR test beginnings, to these devastatingly dangerous COVID shots and the intentional negligence by vaccine makers and health

authorities — is the most shocking example of a criminal enterprise I've ever seen. Nothing else even comes close.

And the proverbial cherry on top that proves none of it is accidental or caused by ignorance is this sneaky and underhanded erasure of the requirement of clinical trials for all future COVID shots in the name of expedience.

COVID-19 is not a death sentence — far from it. So, there's no need for expedience. And since there's no need for expedience, there's also no need to accept collateral damage in the form of COVID jab-related injuries and deaths.

So, why are they doing this? That's the million-dollar question, and the most obvious answers are all disturbing in the extreme. At best, they don't care how many people, including children, suffer and die. At worst, the intention is to dramatically reduce the population through adverse effects on fertility, reduction of life span and near-term death.

To save ourselves, indeed, to save mankind, we must reject all mRNA shots, present and future. And not just the COVID shots but also any others that are in the pipeline, because if they're willing to skip the most basic of safety protocols once, you can be sure they'll do it again.

Skimping on safety assessment has been the secret norm for decades, and now they're attempting to formalize that process using stealth and subversion. It's nothing short of a reprehensible premediated effort to sabotage the public health.

Sadly, the past three years made it abundantly clear that the government agencies have proven they are not going to put a stop to the madness.

No, they're going to take this experiment as far as it'll go, and that means until people everywhere say, "No more," and leave all their stockpiles to rot. Remember the moral of Orfalea's "Children of the COVID" video. Legacy media have been captured by the globalist cabal, and they lie to make you afraid of things that aren't real and/or pose no real threat.

Sources and References

- ¹ Racket News January 17, 2023
- ² Yahoo! News October 11, 2022
- ^{3, 4, 10, 11} Newsday January 23, 2023 (Archived)
- ⁵ Yahoo! News January 23, 2023
- ⁶ FDA VRBPAC January 26, 2023 Meeting
- ⁷ FDA Event Materials
- ⁸ Reuters January 23, 2023
- ⁹ News Medical August 3, 2022
- ¹² The Defender June 29, 2022
- ¹³ Brownstone Institute June 22, 2022, Author's Bio
- ^{14, 16} uTobian Substack May 31, 2022
- ¹⁵ VRBPAC Meeting Comments by Trevor Bedford, April 6, 2022
- ¹⁷ uTobian June 26, 2022