Days 9 and 10 of the Dr Reiner Füllmich Trial

Day 9 of the Reiner Füllmich Trial

02.04.2024 published at 08:48 on Telegram Channel wearegreeeks

Wε αrε Greek - what's your Superpower ?, [02.04.2024 08:48]

Corfu/Göttingen

It is said that there is already a lot of publicity in front of the court. Rike Feurstein is also said to be present and trying to get a seat in the auditorium. Will she be greeted enthusiastically? Asked for autographs and selfies? We don't know (yet)

What is planned is the witness interview with Antonia Fischer! @wearegreeekja

Wε αrε Greek - what's your superpower ?, [02.04.2024 10:19] Göttingen

Shell game

Today's 9th day of proceedings in the Reiner Füllmich case began with two submissions to the court.

Lawyer Viviane Fischer filed an application to be joined as an adhesion plaintiff on behalf of the Vorschalt-UG. She based her motion on the fact that she had not received any information from Antonia Fischer and Justus Hoffmann regarding the proceedings. Questions that Viviane Fischer put to the two remained unanswered. Therefore, she now wanted to be assigned as an adhesion plaintiff.

The second motion was filed by Dr. Justus Hoffmann, namely that the public be excluded and that questions and statements be objected to. Furthermore, he supplemented his motion with the demand that this very motion should not (!) be submitted for the files. The chairman immediately replied that this was not legally possible.

The court withdrew to discuss Ms. Viviane Fischer's motion. @wearegreeekja

Wε αrε Greek - what's your superpower ?, [02.04.2024 11:06]

With your back to the wall?

The application by lawyer Viviane Fischer to join the Vorschalt-UG as an adhesion plaintiff was rejected by the court. @wearegreeekja

Wε αrε Greek - what's your superpower ?, [02.04.2024 12:10]

Dispensing medication!

Part 1

Regarding the application of Dr. Justus Hoffmann, the following was explained:

If Hoffmann's personal state of health is to be discussed, this must first go to the chamber in writing so that it can be decided there on which questions and topics the public can be excluded if necessary.

Defense attorney Dr. Miseré read from a dossier from a public authority. At the end, this described a declaration of action.

This was preceded by a detailed report on Reiner Füllmich.

During the pandemic, Füllmich became the focus of public attention. The dossier claimed that Reiner Füllmich would disrupt internal security.

Dr. Reiner Füllmich had apparently been under surveillance by the Office for the Protection of the Constitution, the BKA and the Federal Intelligence Service since 24.08.2021.

According to the dossier, something must be done and a thorough investigation into Reiner Füllmich must be carried out, as he poses a threat to public order, democracy and the rule of law. He has a great influence on the social climate, he could incite unrest and it is imperative to prevent him from taking on politically exposed offices and to prevent him from obtaining them by all means!

Entanglements seem to be revealing themselves.

In his statement, Reiner Füllmich stated that it was only thanks to the cooperation of public prosecutor John that Hoffmann's transgressive complaint was accepted, which no other public prosecutor would have done.

Füllmich went on to speak of Stasi and Gestapo methods and of the fact that the weakest of the "harbor lawyers" had been chosen in order to manipulate him into filing this seditious complaint. He refers to a further statement by a senior public prosecutor who clearly stated that there were no illegal machinations on the part of Füllmich in this matter. @wearegreeekja

Wε αrε Greek - what's your superpower ?, [02.04.2024 12:11] Dispensing medication!

According to Füllmich, his actions had at no time had any criminal relevance, because everything was openly disclosed and these were matters of company law and not criminal law.

A criminal complaint had now been filed against Mr. John, the public prosecutor.

The defense also requested his removal from office.

While Füllmich read out his statement, which was formulated clearly and unambiguously, public prosecutor John and Antonia Fischer laughed.

The presiding judge concluded by pointing out to Reiner Füllmich that there are limits to the exercise of personal interests and that he should be aware of this and that he hoped that Füllmich would be able to prove everything he had said here.

Reiner Füllmich replied that he was aware of this and that he could substantiate his contribution. Break and request for interruption so that the applications can be submitted to the court in writing by tomorrow. @wearegreeekja

In the second part of Day 9, there is nothing equally stunning.

02.04.2024 published on Telegram Channel wearegreeeks

Wε αrε Greek - what's your Superpower ?, [02.04.2024 12:56]

Lunch break

The request to interrupt the main hearing until tomorrow was not granted, as the applications could also be submitted later.

The trial was continued with the statement by attorney Antonia Fischer, which did not prove to be spectacular in that she essentially repeated everything and presented it as Dr. Justus Hoffmann had done in his statement.

After the lunch break, the trial will continue with the questioning of the witness Antonia Fischer. $K\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta$ $\dot{\phi}$ pe $\xi\eta$! @wearegreeekja

Wε αrε Greek - what's your superpower?, [02.04.2024 16:48]

Didn't turn out so funny after all.

Part 1

The defense was finally able to ask questions, with Reiner Füllmich dominating.

He formulated his questions very clearly and in detail. Antonia Fischer looked as if she had "got into a muddle" with some of the questions and was unable to answer many of them clearly, directly or specifically.

During the questioning, Antonia Fischer needed reminders, even when she referred to her own minutes from the shareholders' meeting in November 2022. She therefore needed a reminder of what she herself had once written about.

In the end, she was asked about the class action and how she would assess it, since Marcel Templin had transferred the EUR 600,000 from the client's funds to the bank and, as a private individual, had the land charge on Füllmich's house registered and not on behalf of the community of interests, for which he actually wanted to have acted.

What is her opinion on what these funds are? Private or his client's money?

She replied that this was disputed.

It became clear that she herself did not understand how the class action worked, but always pretended to know.

Füllmich asks: "Why did you, because you were so afraid of tax debts, prevent the company from being registered at the shareholders' meeting, to which you also brought your own tax advisor, Jörn Böttcher?" @wearegreeekja

Wε αrε Greek - what's your superpower?, [02.04.2024 16:57]

Didn't turn out so funny after all.

Part 2

During the questioning, Antonia Fischer could no longer avoid admitting that she and Dr. Justus Hoffmann were aware of the problems at the base and on the board (Viviane Fischer and Reiner Füllmich in the dual leadership), i.e. also with regard to the tax consultant Böttcher. Füllmich wanted to know why they had not resorted to another tax consultant. Antonia Fischer did not want to answer this question.

It is clear that the aim was not to reach an amicable agreement or to settle any tax debts. Rather, everything was done to prevent the money from being repaid a month and a half after the house sale, which they knew was going to take place and had taken place, and that Reiner Füllmich was willing to pay back the money for the loan.

Why didn't she take the civil action route? "Because Reiner would have absconded, or there was a risk!" Antonia Fischer claimed.

Attorney Katja Wörmer then argues that until a month ago, Reiner Füllmich had a running law firm in Göttingen, with a large house and an apartment above it and a local residence, plus his ranch in the USA.

The suspicion that there was collusion can only be seen as corroborated.

Wearegreeekja.

Day 10 Day 10 of the Reiner Füllmich Trial

Yesterday, a major move by Reiner and his legal team. Today, Antonia Fischer is questioned.

Wε αrε Greek - what's your Superpower ?, [03.04.2024 09:25] Göttingen

Where is Rike Feurstein [Viviane Fischer]?

Disappointment among the waiting fans: No autographs, no selfies.

Can't wait to see the upcoming collection! @wearegreeekja

Wε αrε Greek - what's your superpower ?, [03.04.2024 11:47]

No grill is not a solution either.

Part 1

10th day of proceedings in the Reiner Füllmich case before the Göttingen Regional Court.

The hearing and thus the questioning of the witness Antonia Fischer is interrupted for five minutes and then continued.

Up to this point, it is clear that she is becoming increasingly entangled in contradictions. She is often unable to give clear and concrete answers to Reiner Füllmich's questions.

Füllmich grills.

On the subject of the class action:

Antonia Fischer claims that Füllmich "did nothing", "took no action" and showed no effort. Füllmich replies that this is not true and again draws her attention to the fact that she has no knowledge of Anglo-American law.

It also emerges that she had not even read the client information letters that Reiner Füllmich sent to his clients at regular intervals to keep them up to date with the current status of the proceedings. She was and is simply not informed.

Fischer also claims that it would not have been technically possible to join the class action.

Wε αrε Grεεκ - what's your superpower ?, [03.04.2024 11:51]

No grill is not a solution either.

Part 2

How would she know that, Füllmich asks persistently? Does she know anything about Anglo-American law? Michael Swinwood had been invited to a committee meeting on the subject of class actions and had explained this in detail.

He wanted to know whether she couldn't remember or whether he was too stupid and she was too clever.

Antonia Fischer replied that Dr. Justus Hoffman had said that this would not work.

Füllmich went on to ask about the criminal complaint and the threats against him:

"Why didn't you send the public prosecutor the annex, i.e. the articles of association, which show that all four managing directors have sole power of representation?"

Antonia Fischer replies: "Unfortunately, that was a regrettable mistake."

Füllmich replies that it was precisely on these supporting pillars that the charges were based and ultimately brought, in which Justus Hoffmann and Antonia Fischer describe a threatening situation and claim that the loan should not have been taken out by Füllmich.

This point will now be examined in detail and the criminal complaint finally analyzed.

@wearegreeekja